The Honorable Jerrold Nadler  
Chair, House Committee on the Judiciary  

The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson  
Chair, House Committee on Homeland Security  

The Honorable Jamie Raskin  
Chair, House Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Civil Liberties  

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren  
Chair, House Judiciary Immigration & Citizenship Subcommittee  

The Honorable Kathleen Rice  
Chair, House Subcommittee on Border Security, Facilitation & Operations  

United States House of Representatives  
Washington, DC 20515  

November 18, 2019  

Re: Request for Action to End “Remain in Mexico” Program  

Dear Members of Congress:  

We are immigration, human rights, and civil rights organizations and academics, and we write to request that you take action to end the Trump Administration’s “Remain in Mexico” program, formally referred to by the Administration as the “Migrant Protection Protocols” (“MPP”). The Remain in Mexico policy places asylum-seekers in great danger, violates U.S. law, due process, and international legal obligations, and operates with surgical precision to ensure that Latin American asylum-seekers will almost never be granted humanitarian relief and protection from the violence they are fleeing. We urge you to take action to oversee, investigate, and introduce measures to defund and end this unprecedented policy; we understand that oversight hearings will be conducted tomorrow.  

The Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) announced Remain in Mexico in December of 2018 and implementation began in January of 2019.¹ As of October 28, 2019, there are six cities along the U.S.–Mexico border where Remain in Mexico is in effect—San Ysidro, Calexico, El Paso, Eagle Pass, Laredo, and Brownsville.² Remain in Mexico violates and evades U.S. asylum law and betrays the core values of asylum policy—to provide safety and due process to people seeking U.S. refugee protection.  

For decades prior to implementation of the Remain in Mexico policy, asylum-seekers who arrived at the Southern U.S. border pursued their asylum claims from within the United States. Typically asylum-seekers were paroled into the U.S., placed into an alternatives-to-detention program, or detained within the U.S. while their case proceeded before the immigration courts (assuming they passed a Credible Fear Interview, for those individuals subject to expedited removal). Under Remain in Mexico, asylum-seekers are “made to wait in Mexico until an immigration judge resolves their asylum claims.” This “wait” can take many months. Despite the overwhelming and ever-present dangers targeting migrants in Northern Mexico, fewer than 1,000 of the over 55,000 migrants placed in the Remain in Mexico program have been allowed to stay in the United States while pursuing their cases. USCIS asylum officers attest that the fear-screening standard and procedures currently in place “virtually guarantee[e] a violation” of international treaty obligations.

Migrants forced to remain in Mexico face violence and kidnappings as well as threats to life, health, and well-being. One study found that between 21% and 24% of migrants in the Remain in Mexico program report receiving threats of violence while in Mexico, and of those, over 50% report that the threats turned into actual violence, including beatings, robbery, and extortion. Journalistic accounts indicate that the actual rate of systematic violence faced by asylum-seekers is higher, especially in Northern Mexican cities along the Texas border where kidnappings are common. As the Administration is well aware, drug and criminal cartels operate with impunity in Northern Mexican cities including Matamoros and Nuevo Laredo, and they have systematically targeted migrants. In addition, because cities in Northern Mexico long ago ran out of shelter space, thousands of migrants live in encampments on the streets, without regular access to food, potable water, or sanitation facilities. Despite the best efforts of faith-based and civic organizations, thousands of migrants are homeless and destitute, lacking access to necessary
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3 Innovation Law Lab v. McAleenan, 924 F.3d 503, 506 (9th Cir. 2019) (per curiam) (staying the preliminary injunction; that injunction is once again before the Ninth Circuit and oral argument took place on Oct. 1, 2019).

4 Id.

5 See HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST, supra note 2 at 4, 6 (recounting months-long wait times).


7 Brief of Amicus Curiae Local 1924 at 18, Innovation Law Lab v. McAleenan, No. 19-15716 (9th Cir. Jun. 26, 2019) (representing the interests of union-members, including numerous USCIS employees).


9 Id.


12 Despite earlier promises to the contrary, the Mexican government has failed to provide migrants with humanitarian visas or work authorization, leaving them “stranded for prolonged periods . . . with no way to support themselves.” Id. at 2, 6.
health care. The longer an asylum-seeker must “wait” in Mexico, the higher their risk of violence, homelessness, and discrimination.

Further, Remain in Mexico has been used as a tool in the Administration’s separation of more than 1,000 children from their families, even after a federal court and the President ended family separation as a policy in June 2018. In multiple cases, children arrived at the U.S.–Mexico border with a parent but were separated, rendered unaccompanied by DHS officials, and transferred to ORR facilities across the country, while their parents were subjected to Remain in Mexico. It is nearly impossible to advocate for these children or secure their reunification when the location of their parents and family members is unknown or unstable due to conditions in Mexico.

In addition, the Remain in Mexico program subjects asylum-seekers to numerous due process violations, making it almost impossible for them to pursue their asylum cases. As a result, many will be unfairly denied asylum and returned to situations of extreme danger in their home countries.

First, despite knowing the dangers to migrants in Northern Mexico, DHS officials at ports of entry fail to ask asylum-seekers whether they will face danger if they are made to wait in Mexico, in violation of binding principles of non-refoulement.

Second, DHS fails to provide safe and assured transportation to and from removal proceedings for those who are made to wait in Mexico. Rather, DHS requires migrants to navigate through border areas controlled by deadly cartels seeking to kidnap and extort them, in order to make it to a port of entry—often at 4:00 AM, only to wait in line for several hours, often with
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14 WONG, supra note 8, at 9–10.


18 WONG, supra note 8, at 8.
minor children in tow, for court hearings that begin at 8:00 AM or later.19 As a result, cartels in Northern Mexico have kidnapped migrants in MPP on their way to and from the port of entry.

Third, DHS provides no exceptions for asylum-seekers who are unable to make it to the port of entry on time because of cartel threats, kidnapping, or assault.20 DHS seeks in absentia removal orders for all Remain in Mexico migrants who fail to appear for their court hearings, without exception.

Fourth, the Remain in Mexico program impedes access to counsel by placing asylum-seekers in Mexico, at great distance from the vast majority of immigration attorneys. People with cases in immigration court have the right to counsel at their own expense.21 However, approximately 98% of the 47,313 asylum-seekers in the Remain in Mexico program were unrepresented as of September 2019.22 Outside of Remain in Mexico, about 63% of immigrants in removal proceedings are unrepresented.23 Because Remain in Mexico asylum-seekers are barred from entering the U.S. except for brief appearances at immigration court hearings, they are unable to meet with U.S.-based immigration attorneys, making it virtually impossible to obtain counsel. Asylum success rates drastically increase for migrants who secure counsel. For those migrants who are miraculously able to secure counsel, attorneys are drastically limited in the representation they can provide—given the complex legal standards and the trauma experienced by asylum-seekers, meaningful representation requires many hours of client interviews and preparation, and this work simply cannot take place when lawyer and client are separated by an international border.24

U.S.-based immigration attorneys hesitate to take cases if they cannot meet face-to-face with their clients to discuss sensitive facts in their asylum cases. These attorneys hesitate to travel to notoriously dangerous areas of Mexico, including Matamoros or Nuevo Laredo, because the U.S. State Department designates the Mexican state of Tamaulipas, where these cities are located, 

20 See Delivered to Danger: Illegal Remain in Mexico Policy Imperils Asylum Seekers’ Lives and Denies Due Process, HUMAN RIGHTS FIRST 16 (2019), https://www.humanrightsfirst.org/sites/default/files/Delivered-to-Danger-August-2019%20.pdf (“[A]lmost all of those who originally sought to enter the United States from Tijuana or San Ysidro, California, have been deported without a hearing; many others were deported in absentia.”).
21 See 8 C.F.R. § 1240.10(a) (“Advise the respondent of his or her right to representation, at no expense to the government, by counsel of his or her own choice authorized to practice in the proceedings and require the respondent to state then and there whether he or she desires representation.”).
22 Details on MPP (Remain in Mexico) Deportation Proceedings, TRAC IMMIGRATION (Sep. 2019), https://trac.syr.edu/iptools/immigration/mpp/ (follow these steps: check “Measure” as “Current Status”; check “Graph Time Scale” as “by Month and Year”; select “Hearing Location” on leftmost dropdown menu; select “Represented” on center dropdown menu; check “Represented” on rightmost dropdown menu) (last visited Nov. 3, 2019).
23 INGRID EAGLY & STEVEN SHAFER, ACCESS TO COUNSEL IN IMMIGRATION COURT 2 (2016). Migrants with representation are four times more likely to be released from detention, and eleven times more likely to seek asylum than those without counsel. Id. Migrants with representation are much more likely to obtain the relief they seek. Id. at 3.
24 See HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, supra note 11, at 35 (“[T]here are limited opportunities for the communication required to prepare asylum seekers’ cases, according to attorneys and shelter operators.”).
a Level 4 “Do Not Travel” zone due to “crime and kidnapping.”\textsuperscript{25} Attorneys are understandably unwilling to risk their lives to take on Remain in Mexico clients.\textsuperscript{26} Additionally, cartels and criminal organizations who target asylum-seekers are acutely aware of any U.S. contacts migrants have. Having counsel in the United States actually increases the risk of danger for a migrant since it adds visibility through in-person meetings or phone contact.

Fifth, the immigration court hearings themselves, conducted by Executive Office of Immigration Review (“EOIR”) judges, subject Remain in Mexico migrants to further violations of procedural due process. Many of the hearings are conducted by video, often with the asylum seeker sitting in a portable trailer in a hastily-constructed temporary tent compound. Court observers have noted that lapses in video connectivity prohibit judges located remotely from conducting effective hearings for asylum-seekers in the Remain in Mexico program. Inaccuracies in translation further compound the errors. In addition, EOIR judges do not provide consistent information about the process to asylum-seekers (e.g., how to turn in the application for asylum, and the consequences of missing a court date) and do not ask every asylum-seeker if they are afraid to return to Mexico. Sometimes DHS provides asylum-seekers with a Notice to Appear (the charging document) indicating the wrong date or location of the hearing. DHS only provides court documents (such as the Notice to Appear and the asylum application) in English, and asylum seekers must submit all applications and evidence in English, although they are trapped in Mexico without U.S. attorneys to assist them.

The Remain in Mexico policy violates fundamental due process principles.\textsuperscript{27} We implore the United States Congress to respond accordingly. We ask that you take the necessary steps to defund and end this policy that undermines domestic and international legal protections for asylum-seekers.

Sincerely,

Organizations

\begin{tabular}{lll}
Alabama Coalition for Immigrant Justice & American Civil Liberties Union & Arab American Family Services \\
Advocate Visitors with Immigrants in Detention in the Chihuahuan Desert & American Gateways & Asian Americans Advancing Justice | Chicago \\
Al Otro Lado & American Immigration Lawyers Association & ASISTA Immigration Assistance \\
Alianza Americas & Americans for Immigrant Justice & \\
\end{tabular}

\textsuperscript{26} See HUMAN RIGHTS WATCH, supra note 11, at 34 (describing the danger to attorneys who cross the border to represent migrants).
\textsuperscript{27} See supra note 17 and accompanying text.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asylum Seeker Advocacy Project (ASAP)</td>
<td>Cien Amigos</td>
<td>Freedom for Immigrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area Sex Worker Advocacy Network (BAYSWAN)</td>
<td>Club Taji Ciudad Hidalgo</td>
<td>Government Accountability Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bellevue Program for Survivors of Torture</td>
<td>Coalición de Derechos Humanos</td>
<td>Grassroots Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beyond Legal Aid</td>
<td>Coalition for Humane Immigration Rights - CHIRLA</td>
<td>Guatemala Solidarity Boston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border Crit Institute</td>
<td>Colectivo Mujeres Transnacionales</td>
<td>Hispanic Liaison / El Vínculo Hispano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston University School of Law, Immigrants’ Rights and Human Trafficking</td>
<td>Columbia Law School Immigrants’ Rights Clinic</td>
<td>Houston Immigration Legal Services Collaborative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brighton Park Neighborhood Council</td>
<td>Congregation of Our Lady of Charity of the Good Shepherd, U.S. Provinces</td>
<td>Tahirih Justice Center, Houston Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Area Immigrants’ Rights Coalition</td>
<td>Cornell Law School’s Asylum and Convention Against Torture Appellate Clinic</td>
<td>Human Rights Coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Migration Services</td>
<td>DC-MD Justice For Our Neighbors</td>
<td>Human Rights First</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Gender &amp; Refugee Studies</td>
<td>Ecuandureo Unido</td>
<td>Human Rights Initiative of North Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Justice and International Law (CEJIL)</td>
<td>End Streamline Coalition</td>
<td>Illinois Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centro Legal de La Raza</td>
<td>Equal Justice Center</td>
<td>Immigrant Families Together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Defense Fund - National Office</td>
<td>Familias Unidas en Acción</td>
<td>Immigrant Legal Advocacy Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children's Defense Fund - Texas</td>
<td>Families Belong Together México</td>
<td>Immigrant Legal Resource Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Community Development Association</td>
<td>Families Belong Together</td>
<td>Indivisible Sacramento</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christian Reformed Church Office of Social Justice</td>
<td>Federación de Clubes Michoacanos en Illinois</td>
<td>IRCSGV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federación de Clubes Unidos Zacatecanos en Illinois</td>
<td>Jefferson County Immigrant Rights Advocates (JCIRA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jesus Nebot International</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids in Need of Defense</td>
<td>Illinois Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights</td>
<td>Religious of the Sacred Heart of Mary, Western American Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La 72, Hogar - Refugio para Personas Migrantes</td>
<td>International Committee, National Lawyers Guild</td>
<td>Safe Passage Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake County Immigrant Advocacy</td>
<td>National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights</td>
<td>School Sisters of Notre Dame - Central Pacific Province</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America Working Group (LAWG)</td>
<td>National Partnership for New Americans (NPNA)</td>
<td>Sisters of St. Francis of Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Aid Justice Center</td>
<td>NETWORK Lobby</td>
<td>Sisters of St. Joseph of Orange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living Hope Wheelchair Association</td>
<td>New Mexico Immigrant Law Center</td>
<td>South Texas Human Rights Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowcountry Immigration Coalition</td>
<td>Northern Manhattan Coalition for Immigrant Rights (NMCIR)</td>
<td>Southern Poverty Law Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutheran Immigration and Refugee Service</td>
<td>Pangea Legal Services</td>
<td>Southwest Suburban Immigrant Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mano a Mano Family Resource Center</td>
<td>PASO - West Suburban Action Project</td>
<td>Still Waters Anti-Trafficking Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant Center for Human Rights</td>
<td></td>
<td>Student Action with Farmworkers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Advocacy Center of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Center for Youth Law</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Chelsea Collaborative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Immigrant Justice Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>The Rhizome Center for Migrants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Immigration Project of the National Lawyers Guild</td>
<td></td>
<td>T’ruah: The Rabbinic Call for Human Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Korean American Service and Education Consortium</td>
<td>Refugees International Network</td>
<td>Texas Center for Community Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U.S. Committee for Refugees and Immigrants (USCRI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Affiliation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNC School of Law</td>
<td>University of Tulsa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Programs</td>
<td>College of Law Legal Clinic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitarian Universalist Association</td>
<td>US Human Rights Network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unitarian Universalist Service Committee</td>
<td>Washington Office on Latin America</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Maryland Carey Immigration Clinic</td>
<td>WESPAC Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academics and Scholars*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Affiliations are for identification purposes only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan M. Akram</td>
<td>Lenni Benson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Professor and Director International Human Rights Clinic Boston</td>
<td>Distinguished Professor of Immigration Law and Human Rights New York Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University School of Law</td>
<td>School</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raquel Aldana</td>
<td>Jacqueline Bhabha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Vice Chancellor for Academic Diversity and Professor of Law UC</td>
<td>Director of Research Harvard FXB Center for Health and Human Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Bauer</td>
<td>Kaci Bishop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Professor of Law and Richard D. Tulisano '69 Scholar in Human</td>
<td>Clinical Associate Professor of Law UNC School of Law Clinical Programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights University of Connecticut School of Law</td>
<td>Deborah A. Boehm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Beardall</td>
<td>Professor, Anthropology and Gender, Race, and Identity University of Nevada,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Professor of Law University of Texas School of Law</td>
<td>Reno</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galya Ben-Arieh</td>
<td>Emily Bosk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Northwestern University</td>
<td>Assistant Professor of Social Work Rutgers University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academics and Scholars*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stella Burch Elias</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor and Chancellor William Gardiner</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammond Fellow in Law University of Iowa College of Law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason A. Cade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Alton Hosch Associate Professor of Law; Director, Community Health Law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership University of Georgia School of Law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristina M. Campbell</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jack &amp; Lovell Olender Professor of Law and Co-Director, Immigration &amp;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic Rights Clinic University of Nevada Reno</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie L Canizales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Professor of Sociology UC Merced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Lauren Carasik
Clinical Professor of Law, Director of the International Human Rights Clinic
Western New England University School of Law

Jodi Berger Cardoso
Associate Professor
University of Houston

Jennifer M. Chacón
Professor of Law
UCLA School of Law

Linus Chan
Associate Clinical Professor of Law
University of Minnesota

Michael J. Churgin
Raybourne Thompson Centennial Professor in Law
University of Texas at Austin

Jenny-Brooke Condon
Professor of Law
Center for Social Justice, Seton Hall Law School

Laurie Cook Heffron
Assistant Professor
St. Edward’s University

Erin B. Corcoran
Executive Director
Kroc Institute for International Peace Studies

Ivan de la Rosa
Associate Professor
New Mexico State University

Jennifer Chappell Deckert
Associate Professor of Social Work
Bethel College

Kate Evans
Director, Immigrant Rights Clinic
Duke University School of Law

Jill E. Family
Commonwealth Professor of Law and Government Widener Law Commonwealth

Monica Faulkner
Director
Texas Institute for Child and Family Wellbeing, University of Texas at Austin School of Social Work

Rebecca Feldmann
Visiting Assistant Professor
Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law

Megan Finno-Velasquez
Assistant Professor
New Mexico State University

Paula Galowitz
Clinical Professor of Law Emerita
New York University School of Law

Lauren Gilbert
Professor of Law
St. Thomas University School of Law

Denise Gilman
Clinical Professor
University of Texas School of Law

Valeria Gomez
Clinical Teaching Fellow
University of Connecticut School of Law

Anju Gupta
Professor of Law & Director of the Immigrant Rights Clinic
Rutgers Law School

Susan Gzesh
Senior Lecturer
University of Chicago - Pozen Center for Human Rights

Lindsay M. Harris
Associate Professor & Co-Director of Immigration and Human Rights Clinic
University of the District of Columbia David A. Clarke School of Law

Kayleen Hartman
Supervising Attorney/Clinical Teaching Fellow
Loyola Immigrant Justice Clinic

Susan Hazeldean
Associate Professor of Law
Brooklyn Law School
Geoffrey Heeren  
Visiting Clinical Professor  
University of Iowa College of Law  
Laura A. Hernandez  
Professor of Law  
Baylor Law School  
Robin Hernandez-Mekonnen  
Associate Professor  
Child Welfare Education Institute  
Josiah Heyman  
Endowed Professor of Border Trade and Director, Center for Inter-American and Border Studies  
University of Texas at El Paso  
Barbara Hines  
Clinical Professor (Retired)  
University of Texas School of Law  
Laila L. Hlass  
Professor of Practice  
Tulane University School of Law  
Geoffrey Hoffman  
Director  
University of Houston Law Center  
Madeline Hsu  
Professor  
University of Texas at Austin  
Alan Hyde  
Distinguished Professor  
Rutgers Law School  
Kit Johnson  
Associate Professor of Law  
The University of Oklahoma College of Law  
Lynn Kalinauskas  
Lecturer  
University of Colorado Denver  
Elizabeth Keyes  
Associate Professor  
University of Baltimore  
Jennifer Lee Koh  
Visiting Professor of Law  
UC Irvine School of Law  
Jonathan Kratz  
Clinical Assistant Professor, Graduate Coordinator  
Krista Kshatriya  
Lecturer  
UC San Diego  
Jennifer Lee  
Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
Temple Law School  
Stephanie Leutert  
Director, Central America and Mexico Policy Initiative  
University of Texas at Austin  
Alysses Loomis  
Assistant Professor  
University of Utah College of Social Work  
Karen Pita Loor  
Associate Dean of Experiential Education & Associate Clinical Professor of Law  
Boston University Law School  
James Loucky  
Professor  
Western Washington University  
Beth Lyon  
Clinical Professor of Law  
Cornell Law School  
Peter Margulies  
Professor of Law  
Roger Williams University School of Law  
Peter Markowitz  
Professor of Law  
Cardozo School of Law  
Fatma Marouf  
Professor of Law and Director of the Immigrant Rights Clinic  
Texas A&M University School of Law  
Susan Martin  
Donald G. Herzberg Professor Emerita in International Migration  
Georgetown University  
Jose L. Martinez  
South Texas College of Law Houston - Legal Clinics
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Miriam Marton</th>
<th>Jennifer Moore</th>
<th>Kerrie Ocasio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Associate Dean of</td>
<td>Professor of Law</td>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiential Learning</td>
<td>University of New Mexico</td>
<td>West Chester University of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Tulsa</td>
<td>School of Law</td>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Law Legal Clinic</td>
<td>Craig B. Mousin</td>
<td>Helena Olea-Rodriguez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adjunct Faculty</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth McCormick</td>
<td>DePaul University College of Law</td>
<td>University of Illinois at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Clinical Professor of Law</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chicago</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The University of Tulsa</td>
<td>Karen Musalo</td>
<td>Michael A. Olivas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College of Law</td>
<td>Professor of Law</td>
<td>Bates Distinguished Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas M. McDonnell</td>
<td>U.C. Hastings</td>
<td>in Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor of Law</td>
<td>Jennifer Nagda</td>
<td>John Palmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elisabeth Haub School of Law at Pace</td>
<td>Policy Director</td>
<td>Pompeu Fabra University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>Young Center for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estelle M McKee</td>
<td>Immigrant Children’s Rights</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Professor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell Law School’s Asylum and</td>
<td>Natalie Nanasi</td>
<td>Sarah H. Paoletti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convention Against Torture Appellate</td>
<td>Assistant Professor</td>
<td>Practice Professor of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinic</td>
<td>Southern Methodist</td>
<td>and Director, Transnational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University Dedman School of Law</td>
<td>Legal Clinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecilia Menjivar</td>
<td>Ranjana Natarajan</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor and Dorothy L. Meier</td>
<td>Clinical Professor</td>
<td>School of Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Equities Chair</td>
<td>University of Texas School of Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of California at Los</td>
<td>Ruth Needleman</td>
<td>Mark Peters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angeles</td>
<td>Professor Emeritus</td>
<td>Director of Justice, Peace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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